



RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE AGENDA
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW

MINUTES:

PRESENT: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD

Also Present: DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVEN HOUCHENS, CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED, PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPUTY DIRECTOR CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT, MANAGER JIM DiFIORE, Finance & Business Services, CITY CLERK BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS, and DEPUTY CITY CLERK GABRIELA S. PORTILLO-BRENNER

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(4:07)

1-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-93 – Annexation No. A-0019-02(A) – Property location: On the south side of Deer Springs Way, 660 feet west of El Capitan Way; Petitioned by: Concordia Homes; Acreage: 10.12 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (ML-TC) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Deer Springs Way, 660 feet west of El Capitan Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 27, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-93 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recommended Bill 2002-93 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED stated that the bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

City Attorney

Item 1 – Bill No. 2002-93

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:07 – 4:08)

1-6

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-94 – Annexation No. A-0020-02(A) – Property location: On the north and south side of Deer Springs Way, 330 feet east of Fort Apache Road; Petitioned by: Concordia Homes; Acreage: 10.15 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (ML-TC) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north and south side of Deer Springs Way, 330 feet east of Fort Apache Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (September 27, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-94 and Location Map

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recommended Bill 2002-94 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED stated that the bill is in order.

No one appeared in opposition.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

City Attorney

Item 2 – Bill No. 2002-94

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:08)

1-22

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-99 – Revises the distance separation requirements relating to taverns. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will update the method of measuring distance separation requirements relating to taverns. The changes are designed to take into account the type of parcelization that occurs in commercial subdivisions.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-99

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recommended Bill 2002-99 be forwarded to the Full Council with no recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT explained that the typical pattern for development of taverns in the past has been that a commercial strip mall would occur under a commercial subdivision. Taverns located within such a subdivision have frequently created ownership by a record of survey which is not recognized by the City for distance separation requirement purposes. The spacing requirements are measured from the outer boundaries of the commercial subdivision. It does make sense under certain circumstances to allow for an alternative measurement based on a record of survey, but there is some concern with how far to take that exception. Records of survey are recognized by the Tax Assessor and financial

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

City Attorney

Item 3 – Bill No. 2002-99

MINUTES – Continued:

institutions. It is believed that this proposed change would allow the City to recognize the record of survey subject to two provisos. These conditions would be that all the parking requirements can be met within the parcel identified by the record of survey and that direct access would be available to the parcel identified. An easement would not satisfy the second requirement, although reciprocal agreements between the overall development and the tavern would be sufficient. That provides flexibility to recognize the market in the real world but allow the City to prevent the proliferation or over abundance of taverns.

MR. KNIGHT read staff's recommendation for an amendment to Page 2 of this bill to increase the ingress/egress right-of-way minimum from 80 feet to 100 feet. Paragraph 5 would also be amended to renumber (b) to (c) on Page 3 and insert a new subsection (b) to read: Will be located on a parcel with a building that, pursuant to State law or City ordinance, has been designated a historic property, historic building or landmark. MR. KNIGHT pointed out that there was a recent change to business licensing requirements where a primary street forms a natural barrier between a protected use and where the alcoholic sales occur. Staff looking at taverns in the same way is the reason for the 100-foot right-of-way.

ATTORNEY RUSSELL ROWE, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared with and on behalf of HANK GORDON, Laurich Properties, and summarized the efforts in working with staff on this proposal. This does bring the City's code into compliance with the State's recognition of record of survey parcels. In doing so, it furthers the City's policy of keeping taverns in more intense commercial areas.

HANK GORDON, Laurich Properties, 2500 West Sahara, indicated that he is generally representing the shopping center industry as well as his particular property. The State law has recognized parcels created within a commercial subdivision map. These are legal lots that can be sold and financed separately, once the record of survey is recorded, even if reciprocal agreements exist for overall access and parking. Such owners should not be penalized by distance separations being measured from the outer boundary of the subdivision. He urged the City to adopt this proposed bill.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of PHIL DAVIS, FRED WESTMAN and other property owners. Although they originally supported the ordinance, there is a concern with the proposed amendment changing the minimum right-of-way width from 80 to 100 feet. In the event of such an amendment, he requested language to read: minimum right-of-way width of 80 feet that is adjacent to a frontage road, a freeway or a 100-foot-right-of-way street. That would address properties on existing commercial parcels adjacent

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

City Attorney

Item 3 – Bill No. 2002-99

MINUTES – Continued:

to frontage roads and freeways and would not hinder staff's efforts to control intense commercial uses.

RAYMOND SHAPIRO, 3321 North Buffalo, #207, supported the ability of the elected officials or board to waive requirements. He would like to see the following language added to Page 2, Line 28: a parcel located abutting a street or highway with a right-of-way greater than 120 feet adjacent to the subject property or a parcel located abutting an arterial street or highway and abutting the Downtown Redevelopment Area. Those narrow recommendations should not have broad impact on waiver applications and could certain properties that currently require a waiver to be developed.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of ECT Holdings. She requested support of the bill incorporating the language regarding historical buildings. Many historical buildings are located in more mature areas where allowing for the waiver would give greater flexibility for redevelopment in such areas.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD discussed with CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED that some restaurants have tavern licenses if they do not want to abide by the supper club license restrictions. MANAGER JIM DiFIORE, Finance and Business Services, confirmed that some full-service restaurants have tavern licenses and others have supper club licenses.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD expressed her support of the previous City code that provided greater discretion to the Council based on the specific circumstances of each case. She cited an example of a restaurant in Ward 2 near a City swimming pool that could not have been granted a waiver under the existing code. There are other examples that would still not be permitted even with the modification being proposed by this bill. Placing full discretion in the hands of the Council would eliminate the need for this bill.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that there has been a lot of dialogue on this issue by other Councilmembers. He felt that further discussion should take place before the full Council. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD concurred.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:08 – 4:23)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-95 – Repeals and replaces LVMC Chapter 6.50, relating to liquor control, and revises related zoning provisions. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director, Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In addition to adding new alcoholic beverage licensing categories for wedding chapels, billiard parlors, convenience stores, art galleries, art studios, buses and limousines this bill reorganizes the presentation of the existing alcoholic beverage regulations, including moving related zoning matters from Chapter 6.50 to Title 19 of the City Code. Special use permit regulations for unlicensed locations hosting social events with alcoholic beverage sales are also established.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-95

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recommended Bill 2002-95 be HELD IN ABEYANCE to the 9/30/2002 Recommending Committee. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

MANAGER JIM DiFIORE, Finance & Business Services, requested that this item be abeyed to the 9/30/2002 Recommending Committee meeting in order to allow him to meet with several individuals from the industry who will wish to discuss this bill before it appears before the City Council.

No one appeared in opposition.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

City Attorney

Item 4 – Bill No. 2002-95

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:23- 4:24)

1-513

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-96 – Expands the grounds for denial of a privileged license, adds temporary licensing provisions and amends the waiver of suitability provisions. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director, Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill authorizes the City Council to issue a temporary privileged business license in order to assess the applicant's fitness for a license and the appropriateness of the applicant's business location. This bill also incorporates the grounds for denial of a non-privileged business license application as grounds for denial of a privileged business license application, and requires that applications for waiver of suitability approval be more explanatory regarding a principal's responsibilities.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-96

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recommended Bill 2002-96 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

MANAGER JIM DiFIORE, Finance & Business Services, explained that this bill will enhance the Council's ability to hear liquor, gaming and other privileged licenses. The existing code allows for a hearing on a temporary approval where the applicant can submit the application and demonstrate preliminary suitability for the subject license. The discretion is essentially

unlimited for the Council to grant the application. This bill will establish grounds for an applicant's fitness

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

City Attorney

Item 5 – Bill No. 2002-96

MINUTES – Continued:

and the appropriateness of the location for the request. The Council would be able to approve the request on a six-month basis and provide for an additional six-month extension. The temporary license would only be suspended under this bill if there were violation of conditions imposed by Council or violation of local, state or federal law. The Director of Finance and Business Services could issue the suspension of that license and thereafter the item would appear on the next City Council meeting for a full review and hearing. Lastly, this would create a nominal fee for a waiver of suitability of principal officers of a corporation where they can prove they have no day-to-day operation of the business. This would be a \$50 nonrefundable waiver fee to be imposed at the Director's discretion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stressed that he hopes that bills such as this one and Bill Nos. 2002-99 and 2002-95 will not take a Ward such as his backward by allowing uncaring business operators an opportunity to open. The timing is ironic. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD agreed that the one-size-fits-all standard implemented across the City can prevent very successful and stable businesses from being developed in her Ward. She stated again that waiver discretion should rest with the Council. She has had to turn away beautiful plans and parcels remain vacant. It is not the job of government to tell developers what to build at certain locations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:24 – 4:30)

1-541

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-97 – Updates the portion of the Town Center Development Standards Manual that pertains to signs. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will update the Town Center Development Standards Manual as it relates to signs. The update includes a requirement for the submittal of a master sign plan for larger commercial projects and a number of minor changes in terminology and format.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-97

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recommended Bill 2002-97 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT, Planning & Development, advised that the bill addresses enforcement issues that have arisen in Town Center. This converts notations within the standards into a requirement, particularly involving signage. This will limit the maximum allowable combined sign area for ground signs and the prohibition as to wall signs facing residential districts. The sign district also incorporates a master sign plan and clarifies the interpretation of store front for wall-mounted signs.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

City Attorney

Item 6 – Bill No. 2002-97

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, confirmed with DEPUTY DIRECTOR KNIGHT that this will not change the encouragement of monument signage and limitation of pole signage in Town Center created under a previous ordinance adopted approximately a year ago.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:30 – 4:33)

1-734

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 16, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-98 – Expands the boundaries of the Special Signage Sub-district within the Downtown Casino Overlay District. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Last January the Council adopted special sign standards for an area within the Downtown Casino Overlay District known as the Special Signage Sub-district, encompassing the area bounded by Ogden Avenue on the north, Las Vegas Boulevard on the east, Carson Avenue on the south, and Main Street on the west. The sign standards allow and encourage neon and animated signage consistent with the City's plans for the area. This bill expands the Sub-district to include the area bounded by Mesquite Avenue on the north, Casino Center Boulevard on the east, Ogden Avenue on the South, and Main Street.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-98

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recommended Bill 2002-98 be forwarded to the Full Council with a "Do Pass" recommendation. **COUNCILMAN WEEKLY** concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

DEPUTY DIRECTOR CHRISTOPHER KNIGHT, Planning & Development, indicated that this bill is in response to the arena proposal on the Main Street property to allow signage similar to that permitted on the Neonopolis development and expands the sub-district created for the area

of the Downtown Casino Overlay District. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY confirmed that this will not

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

City Attorney

Item 7 – Bill No. 2002-98

MINUTES – Continued:

incorporate the Chelsea Outlet Project. CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED verified that Main Street is the western boundary of the sub-district. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that the sign package for the Chelsea Project will be on the next Planning Commission agenda.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:33 – 4:34)

1-837

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 4, 2002`

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILLS:

Bill No. 2002-100 – Amends Ordinance No. 3992 (creating Special Improvement District No. 707 - Summerlin Area), and approves the First Amendment to the Development and Financing Agreement related thereto. Proposed by: Richard D. Goecke, Director of Public Works

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Ordinance No. 3992, adopted in 1996, provided for the acquisition, construction, and installation of street, storm sewer, sanitary sewer and water projects in the Summerlin Area. This bill will amend Ordinance No. 3992 to add new projects and to adjust and revise project costs to reflect actual allowable reimbursements. The bill will also amend the Development and Financing Agreement related to this project.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Bill No. 2002-100
2. First Amendment to Development and Financing Agreement

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recommended Bill 2002-100 be forwarded to the Full Council with a “Do Pass” recommendation. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY concurred.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing open.

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED stated that the bill was prepared by the City’s Bond Counsel to reflect what has actually occurred in the 707 Special Improvement District. It removes items which could not be funded by the bonds, incorporates other improvements and updates street references. Staff recommends approval.

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

City Attorney

Item 8 – Bill No. 2002-100

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD confirmed with CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STEED that although she resides in Summerlin, this housekeeping measure would not impact her differently than any other area resident and, therefore, would not have to abstain on this matter. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stated that based upon such counsel advice, she would move for the item to go forward with a Do Pass recommendation.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY declared the Public Hearing closed.

(4:34 – 4:35)

1-877



RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE AGENDA
RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE MEETING OF: SEPTEMBER 16, 2002

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

ITEMS RAISED UNDER THIS PORTION OF THE AGENDA CANNOT BE DELIBERATED OR ACTED UPON UNTIL THE NOTICE PROVISIONS OF THE OPEN MEETING LAW HAVE BEEN MET. IF YOU WISH TO SPEAK ON A MATTER NOT LISTED ON THE AGENDA, PLEASE CLEARLY STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS. IN CONSIDERATION OF OTHERS, AVOID REPETITION, AND LIMIT YOUR COMMENTS TO NO MORE THAN THREE (3) MINUTES. TO ENSURE ALL PERSONS EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK, EACH SUBJECT MATTER WILL BE LIMITED TO TEN (10) MINUTES.

MINUTES:

None

(4:35)
1-923

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 4:35 P.M.

Respectfully submitted: _____
GABRIELA S. PORTILLO-BRENNER, DEPUTY CITY CLERK
September 18, 2002